1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In Re: the ESTATE of SELMA FREEMAN CLARK,

Deceased,

GEORGE FREEMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

GWENDOLYN M. YOUNG, FLORENCE (aka SALLY) E. EVENS, TIM BOZE, PACIFIC NORTHWEST TITLE COMPANY OF SPOKANE, RONALD THOMAS, NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE, DONNA R. BERROTERAN, JANE & JOHN DOE 1-5,

Defendants.

NO. CV-05-202-RHW

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On October 25, 2006, the Court entered an Order dismissing Plaintiff's state law claims and ordered additional briefing on Plaintiff's section 1983 claims and civil RICO claims (Ct. Rec. 73).

In the order, the Court suggested that Plaintiff's section 1983 claim failed because Plaintiff did not show that Defendants are state actors for purposes of section 1983. In his supplemental briefing, it appears that Plaintiff is relying on the fact that some of the Defendants are state licensed; thus, Defendants are "acting under the color of their licenses." This is not an adequate showing under the law. *See Radcliffe v. Rainbow Constr. Co.*, 254 F.3d 772, 783 (9th Cir. 2001).

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ~ 1

1 Accordingly, Plaintiff's section 1983 claim fails. 2 Moreover, Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate that Defendants are an 3 "enterprise" as contemplated by the federal RICO statute, or that Defendants engaged in a "pattern of racketeering". 4 5 Plaintiff seeks permission to amend his Complaint. While leave to amend should be granted freely when justice so requires, in reviewing the Complaint, the 6 7 civil RICO statement, Plaintiff's response to Defendant's motions, and Plaintiff's supplemental briefings, the Court concludes that amending the Complaint to give 8 Plaintiff an additional opportunity to state a civil RICO claim would be futile. Thinket Ink Information Resources, Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc., 368 F.3d 1053, 10 1061 (9th Cir. 2004). 11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 12 13 Defendant Young's Motion to Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 54) is **GRANTED**. 14 Defendants National City Mortgage Company's and Ronald Thomas' 15 Motion for Summary Judgment (Ct. Rec. 60) is **GRANTED**. 16 Defendants Tim Boze and Pacific Northwest Title Company's Motion to 17 Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 48) is **GRANTED**. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to enter this 18 Order, forward copies to Plaintiff and counsel, and close the file. 19 **DATED** this 27th day of December, 2006. 20 21 *S/ Robert H. Whaley* 22 ROBERT H. WHALEY Chief United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 Q:\CIVIL\2005\Freeman\dismiss.wpd 27 28

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT $\sim 2\,$